

KAKA'AKO MAKAI COMMUNITY PLANNING ADVISORY COUNCIL
MEETING #22 SUMMARY
Tuesday, January 13, 2008
John A. Burns School of Medicine, Room 301

Meeting Materials Posted Online and Distributed:

- December 16, 2008 CPAC Meeting Summary
- Vision and Guiding Principles Document
- Proposed Point Panic Memorial Report to the HCDA and Narrative Position Statement

I. Preliminary Business

- A. Welcome and Introductions
CPAC Chair Wong welcomed CPAC participants.
- B Adoption of the December 16, 2008 CPAC Meeting Summary.

The December 16, 2008, CPAC Meeting Summary was adopted by consensus.

II. Vision and Guiding Principles for Kaka'ako Makai

- A. Adoption of the Vision for Kaka'ako Makai
The draft vision statement recommended by the Steering Committee was reviewed and discussed by CPAC participants.
- It was noted that diacritical marks would be added to the Hawaiian words prior to posting for public review.
 - It was explained that “keiki” and “kūpuna” are both plural and singular, and “keiki” is a contemporary word for children, with the older word being “pōki'i.”
 - The cadence of the vision statement was noted as unchanged, with the priority statement followed by more stylistic sentences comprehensively reflecting the sense of the Guiding Principles.
 - In response to a question about “our” island lifestyle being possessive, it was agreed that this could remain.

The Vision statement for Kaka'ako Makai was adopted by CPAC consensus.

- B. Reaffirmation of the Guiding Principles for Kaka'ako Makai
The Guiding Principles adopted by the CPAC in November and December, 2008, respectively, were presented in document form preceded by the draft Vision.
- It was noted that pertinent information was referenced in footnotes to certain Guiding Principles, and additional information related to the Guiding Principles was intended to be provided in a separate document of annotations spanning the development of the Guiding Principles from over 200 nominations and subsequent sequential groupings to the final 14 Guiding Principles.
 - It was suggested that this information could be found and compiled from the CPAC and Steering Committee meeting summaries and attachments, and this might be done as the planning process progressed.
 - It was pointed out that diacritical marks would be added to the Hawaiian words prior to posting.

The Guiding Principles for Kaka'ako Makai were reaffirmed by CPAC consensus as presented in the Vision and Guiding Principles document.

C. Public Review of the Vision and Guiding Principles

It was recommended that the Vision and Guiding Principles document should be posted publicly on the HCDA web site where comments could be received.

- HCDA staff commented that the HCDA would post the final form on the HCDA website and provide it to State legislators.
- In response to a question, HCDA staff reported that although initial comments were invited on the CPAC link relating to the formation of the CPAC and the working group process, none had been received.
- It was noted that the CPAC would welcome comments on the document.
- It was recommended that the document presented to the legislators should be easy to look at and easy to read.

III. CPAC Planning Participation Process

A. Pending Projects Updates

Responding to the CPAC's request for monthly HCDA updates, HCDA staff reported the following:

- The JABSOM, Kamehameha Schools and OHA projects remain active.
- Repairs on the box culvert channel will begin in one month.
- The marine mammal lab has been demolished and the site is fenced.
- Remediation of certain contaminated parcels began in July 2008 and plans for interim parking at these locations remain active. The CPAC needs to be realistic in what the HCDA needs to do until the use of the land is ultimately defined.
- The HCDA has received an application for reconstruction of the John Dominis restaurant. The site is under a long-term lease until 2042.
 - The project concept is to be continued restaurant use within the present allowable height of 65 feet, which is more than the existing single-story structure.
 - HCDA as a government agency is required to review plan applications based on current rules.
 - It is hoped that the developer will allow for incorporation of a shoreline promenade greenbelt in the proposed plan.Expressing concern, CPAC participants stated the desire to communicate with the developer and asked how this could be accomplished.
 - It was suggested that the CPAC present the developer with the adopted Vision and Guiding Principles for Kaka'ako Makai.
- The HCDA is considering leasing the former Look Lab warehouse to the Department of Public Safety sheriff's division.
 - HCDA spends \$200,000 annually for security services and the DPS has agreed to provide these services.
 - The interim lease would be for 5 years and not long term use.
 - If a project for the site is proposed and approved, the HCDA will terminate the lease to allow the project to move forward.
- Honolulu Seawater Air Conditioning has completed an Environmental Impact Statement and this is presently undergoing public review and comment.
- HCDA staff is presently short-handed and requests that the CPAC provide the Vision and Guiding Principles document to the HCDA two weeks prior to the February 11 HCDA board meeting so it can be posted on the web page.
- The HCDA has an approved consultants list from which the lead consultant for the Kaka'ako Makai planning process will be selected along with well-known specialty sub-consultants to work under the prime consultant. Partners for Public Spaces (PPS) was mentioned.

- In response to questions from CPAC participants, the following information was provided:
 - HCDA advertises for professional services and broadcasts nationwide for a general scope of services, such as civil engineering, environmental engineering, planning, etc.
 - The list developed is effective for one year, and thereafter consultants must re-apply.
 - The HCDA reviews the list when needed and chooses 3 consultants who are most qualified for the work.
 - Consultants are hired based on experience.
 - The scope of work will be defined following selection of the consultant with the #1 ranking.
 - Participation with the community will be part of the scope of work
 - Outside recommendations would be accepted until the middle of the following week.
 - If the CPAC has ideas on the scope of work they should be submitted at this time with any recommendations for requirements or requests.
 - In about 3 weeks the HCDA will send out what has been compiled for CPAC review, comments, and any additions.
 - HCDA can discuss the scope of services at the January 28 Steering Committee meeting.
 - Presently it is unknown who will be on the HCDA's planning consultants selection committee.

B. Proposed Point Panic Memorial

It was reported that the CPAC report on the proposed Mother Marianne Cope memorial at Point Panic and the CPAC's consensus position would be presented to the HCDA at their February board meeting. A narrative position statement was presented for CPAC consideration and discussion, and the following CPAC position was recommended by consensus:

The CPAC respectfully recommends that the concept and design of the proposed memorial be approved with the condition that the permanent location not be approved until the master plan is created through meaningful participation and full consideration by the CPAC.

HCDA staff commented on the following:

- The HCDA understands the community's reaction to the proposed Point Panic location for the memorial and that this location is unacceptable.
- Given the community's nexus to the area discussion will continue on what is appropriate, and the HCDA has deferred this issue.
- Ideas on alternate locations are welcome, and there will be no further action until the HCDA confers with the CPAC.

A CPAC Steering Committee member suggested that the design should be compatible with the surroundings and the CPAC should work together with the applicants.

C. CPAC Meaningful Participation Statement

HCDA staff commented that "meaningful participation" is difficult to define, and the HCDA is willing to learn from experience. Additional comments followed:

- Principles and values matter.
- A structure of trust is needed
- It takes two sides to build meaningful participation
- Build on adaptive planning

- Community-based planning belongs to the community
 - There needs to be a commitment of more intense, direct and ongoing involvement
- A CPAC Steering Committee member suggested that the CPAC consider the relative citation from HCR30 as well as the HCDA's initial statement on the community-based planning process posted online, as follows:

- HCR 30, 2006
http://www.capitol.hawaii.gov/session2006/Bills/HCR30_SD1_.htm

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Hawaii Community Development Authority immediately convene a working group of interested stakeholders, particularly the groups and individuals that have surfaced in this controversy, to *meaningfully participate* in the development, acceptance, and implementation of any future plans for the development of Kakaako Makai.

- HCDA Community-Based Planning Statements
<http://hcdaweb.org/news/hcda-announces-plan-to-create-kaka-ako-makai-advisory-working-group>

“The community involvement process consists of two distinct phases:
Phase 1: A community outreach program that encourages involvement of a broad constituency including individuals and groups with a stake in the future of the Kaka‘ako Makai to identify prospective candidates for the Advisory Working Group.
Phase 2: The Advisory Working Group will convene to develop a strategic plan that
 - will include a vision for Kaka‘ako Makai, guiding principles, and an action plan.

“HCDA wants a community participatory planning process that is open, transparent, and inclusive; a process that reaches out to a broad constituency and allows multiple ways to provide input. Further, HCDA is committing to a long-term effort in which the Advisory Working Group will work with HCDA on detailed planning, design and development of Kaka‘ako Makai.” (Emphasis added)

It was agreed by CPAC consensus that the HCDA statement could be supported with the addition of the CPAC working with the consultant planners as well as the HCDA, and that the Steering Committee should work on further refinement of the CPAC’s Meaningful Participation Position Statement.

IV. Announcements

- A. HCDA Special Meeting - January 14 at 11 AM
 The HCDA will be deciding on whether to approve the General Growth Properties redevelopment proposal for 60 acres in Kaka‘ako Mauka.
- B. Next Meeting Dates
 Steering Committee Meeting - Tuesday, January 28, 2009
 CPAC Meeting – Tuesday, February 17, 2009.

The meeting was adjourned at 7:30 PM

January 13, 2009, CPAC Meeting Attendance
(and Meetings Attended)

| | | | |
|------------------|------|-------------------|------|
| Anderson, Amy | (18) | Matson, Michelle | (22) |
| Crone, Bob | (17) | Miasnik, Geoff | (13) |
| Dang, Tricia | (4) | Nalua 'I, Solomon | (15) |
| Feltz, Bill | (17) | Parkinson, John | (13) |
| Furushima, Scott | (14) | Sakaguchi, Bill | (2) |
| Hamada, Herman | (1) | Takamine, Wayne | (19) |
| Hedlund, Nancy | (20) | Watanabe, Suzanne | (2) |
| Kastner, Katie | (3) | Wong, Mark | (15) |
| Lancor, Joe | (1) | | |

HCDA Staff

Neupane, Deepak
Takahashi, Teney
Nakamoto, Craig

Draft CPAC Meeting Summary transmitted by CPAC Secretary to Steering Committee Members for review on February 3, 2009. Draft CPAC Meeting Summary approved for posting by the CPAC Steering Committee on February 6, 2009.