

**KAKA'AKO MAKAI COMMUNITY PLANNING ADVISORY COUNCIL
MEETING SUMMARY
Meeting #12**

**Tuesday, April 8, 2008
John A. Burns School of Medicine, Room 301
5:30 p.m. to 7:30 p.m.**

Facilitators: Karen Cross, Kem Lowry
Assisted by Anne Smoke

Attachments:

- A. Attendance List
- B. Vision Statement Materials
 - 1) Vision Roadmap PowerPoint
 - 2) Vision Statement Development Guide
 - 3) Vision Statement Examples and Terms
 - 4) March 11 Break-Out Vision Exercise Results
 - 5) April 8 Break-Out Vision Statement Results
- C. Election Process PowerPoint

I. Welcome and Overview

CPAC Chair Mark Wong welcomed CPAC members, introduced the CPAC Steering Committee members and facilitators, and informed those present of the CPAC information link on the HCDA web site at www.HCDAweb.org. He explained that this was a transitional meeting where the officers were involved in proceedings for the first time, and the facilitators would continue to guide the visioning process. He noted HCDA's request to have the visioning exercise prior to the Steering Committee At-Large Member election discussion, and there were no objections to making this change on the agenda.

The meeting ground rules were reviewed. The facilitators encouraged all participants go to the HCDA web site to review the CPAC material presented to date.

II. Introductions

Meeting participants introduced themselves and provided their affiliations.

III. Approval of the March 11, 2008 CPAC Meeting Summary

The March 11, 2008, CPAC Meeting Summary was unanimously adopted with amendment of the Attendance List attachment. Chair Wong suggested that future meeting attendance lists could include the number of CPAC meetings attended after each name.

IV. Visioning Exercise

(Attachments D. 1- 4)

The facilitators reviewed the Vision exercise procedure, where 50 minutes would be allocated for break-out group vision statements following individual review of vision terms and examples from previous meetings, followed by individual written contributions to a group statement. Six break-out groups were formed for this purpose. Upon completion of the task, each group's collective statement was posted and read by the break-out group's recorder:

Break-Out Group Vision Statements:

- Kaka`ako Makai is a Hawaiian cultural gathering place that welcomes people from all walks of life from our state and community to seek recreational, educational, and cultural enrichment, while providing open green space with shoreline access and maintaining economic and environmental sustainability. Additional: Access - trails, bike paths, lei of green. Statement strives to conform with HCR 30 for the community.
- Kaka`ako Makai preserves the open waterfront, marine access and resources, recreational uses, fishing uses, family/*keiki*-related uses, and maintains and creates a green open space for the lei of green. Ensures public access, and importantly incorporates cultural history and heritage by showcasing Hawaiian culture and maintaining and recognizing the harbor's culture and history. Some discussion and differences related to any type of housing and workforce housing, but those were debated.
- Kaka`ako Makai is a culturally enriching community gathering place continuing the lei of green parks on the shoreline and connecting mauka and makai vistas with scenic beauty; a significant expanded shoreline park and open space with passive recreational, Hawaiian cultural and educational public uses to benefit the greater good of present families and future generations; public access to the shoreline and ocean; preservation of the natural environment; and a Hawaiian sense of place in landscape, setting and design. Additional: Reconciles Hawaiian rights with community needs; attracts a wide variety of residents and visitors; and is sustainable through public/ private partnerships.
- Kaka`ako Makai shall be a gathering place for cultural, recreational and educational activities. It connects the mountains to the sea, urban to natural, and encourages ecological integrity. It honors and celebrates the best of Hawaii.
- Malama `Aina – we care for the earth and all human needs will be met. Kaka`ako Makai will provide land and ocean resources for education and recreation for the greater good of present and future generations.
- Kaka`ako Makai will perpetuate the stewardship inherent in the *ahupua`a* and embrace Honolulu's past and future, *makai* and *mauka*, local and international, culture and commerce. A revitalization plan will improve social connectivity with gathering and open spaces, recreational opportunities, educational enrichment and emphasis on cultural and environmental stewardship.

The facilitators asked for any words or images that the participants felt strongly about but were not captured on the vision statements. Additional individual comments followed:

- The amount of “economic development” is a discussion point of great variation.
- The CPAC needs to put to rest illegal planning elements. Both the selling of state land and housing are statutorily prohibited in Kaka`ako Makai. There are laws that chart the course the CPAC is following, and the CPAC should not waver and propose elements not within the legal guidelines that the CPAC is working within.
 - The vast majority of the CPAC does not support housing in Kaka`ako Makai, but this singular focus is repeated meeting after meeting and the workforce housing promoter needs to get past this.
 - Legislation is only good for a year and next year something different will happen, and in five years something different could happen. The Gold Bond building is in Kaka`ako Makai on Ala Moana Blvd., and if people were living on Ala Moana on the makai side, how would that be damaging compared to what it looks like now?

- We were told the vision process has no boundaries. It would be good to reward education workers with good housing conditions.
- Housing is planned and encouraged for the mauka side.
- Facilitators: Workforce housing prohibited on the makai side is a tension point.
- Seamless pedestrian access mauka/makai and diamondhead/ewa is an important consideration, perhaps as a guiding principle.
- Sports need a lot of recreational space and sports also preserve green space. The Makahiki tradition is also cultural.
- Nice restaurants, nothing lavish.
- Most of the area should be green and open, with an iconic cultural center.
- People-oriented gathering place elements should reinforce and be respected for the ability to attract people. All elements should contribute to this, whether public space, structures, open space, etc. The central goal is to have a people-oriented gathering place, and what we do from now on should contribute to this.
- Use traditional place names within and for Kaka‘ako Makai.

It was suggested that individual card comments be posted with the break-out group comments to be fair to those who expressed their ideas. The facilitators responded that there will be an opportunity to raise these ideas in next round of discussion.

The facilitators confirmed that draft vision statements will be posted on the web site ahead of the next meeting, and asked everyone to review the vision statements and choose one as the foundation to complete working on and achieve consensus.

V. Election of At-Large Steering Committee Members

A. Election Process

The facilitators recommended using the same nomination and election process for the 5 at-large CPAC Steering Committee members as had been used for the CPAC operations officers. In addition, the Steering Committee recommended attendance at 4 out of the last 6 meetings (2/3) for candidate eligibility, but did not reach consensus on voting eligibility. The facilitators invited discussion and there was none. The question was called and seconded. The facilitators asked if there were any strong exceptions.

- One CPAC member stated that every person who attends CPAC meetings should have the opportunity to serve on the Steering Committee, and suggested that the formula be changed to 4 out of all 12 meetings (1/3).
- A participant commented that this appeared to be making new rules after-the-fact.
- A Steering Committee member commented that the logic to the 4 out of 6 meetings is that those who have had the interest and commitment have contributed to the CPAC's efforts for at least 4 out of the past 6 CPAC meetings, and those who keep up with the learning curve and have the experience are good candidates to contribute to CPAC operations as members of the Steering Committee. Possessing the background and having the ability are important, and commitment is key.
- A CPAC member noted that the first 6 months during which the CPAC convened had a lot of important information, and it is important to have a long-term perspective.

The facilitators conducted a poll on the Steering Committee's recommendation of 4 out of 6 meetings, and "other" suggestions. There were 16 in support of the Steering Committee recommendation, and 11 in support of "other."

Facilitators noted that that without consensus, a 2/3 majority is required to validate CPAC decisions. A Steering Committee member questioned the number of participants for voting purposes. The facilitators responded that no attendance count was taken.

Other suggestions:

- A CPAC member suggested attendance at over 50% of all CPAC meetings as an eligibility requirement. There were 2 in support of this and 20 opposed.
- A CPAC member reiterated the suggestion of 4 out of 12 meetings as the eligibility requirement.
- A CPAC member suggested that candidate qualifications be left open to anybody who is willing to serve and be chosen by the integrity of the voters.
- CPAC members pointed out that those involved should be familiar and have some continuity in operations issues and procedures experience over the last several meetings.
- A CPAC member suggested that qualified people with strong credentials should have a chance to contribute even if just moving into the area.
- A CPAC member emphasized that there would be a good balance with a certain level of qualifications for the Steering Committee and with the CPAC meetings continuing to be open and egalitarian for everyone.

It was noted that there was difficulty achieving consensus and proposed that anybody can be nominated as an at-large Steering Committee member, with the number of meetings attended listed for each nominee. There were 28 in support with one opposed, a 2/3 majority.

Questions arose regarding the responsibilities of Steering Committee members.

- Facilitators noted that Steering Committee duties are to develop CPAC meeting agendas and balance community expectations of 2-hour meetings.
- A Steering Committee member added that while operations officers represent the CPAC in communications, all Steering Committee members take time in a separate monthly meeting to organize matters that come before the Committee, deliberate issues that require resolution, and develop related recommendations to present to the full CPAC to discuss and decide upon.

B. Voting Procedure

The facilitators recommended the previous elections procedure, i.e, those who have attended 4 out of the past 6 meetings being eligible to vote.

A Steering Committee member commented that the procedures for engagement and participation need to be consistent to carry forward meaningfully and avoid compromising the process by inflated participation for voting purposes.

It was moved and seconded that those who have attended any 3 of the 12 CPAC meetings would be eligible to vote for at-large Steering Committee candidates. There were 24 in support with none opposing, and the motion was adopted by consensus.

C. Nominations

The facilitators noted that the 4 operations officers and 2 ex-officio members would remain on the Steering Committee, and this election would be for five (5) at-large Steering Committee members.

Incumbent Steering Committee members nominated (and meetings attended):

- Bob Crone (9)
- Nancy Hedlund (12)
- Wayne Takamine (10)
- John Thorpe (12)

Additional candidates nominated (and meetings attended):

- Sara Bolduc (3)
- Chad Browning (3)
- Gwen DeMent (3)
- Jim Howe (6)
- Sol Nalua'I (11)
- John Parkinson (5)

The facilitators instructed all candidates nominated and willing to serve to submit their candidate profiles to Anne Smoke by April 15, the nominations closing date, and ballots will be sent to the voting list by April 19 and must be returned by April 25.

VI. Next Meeting Date : Tuesday, May 13, 2008

HCDA staff encouraged the CPAC to continue focusing on the Vision and Guiding Principles as a priority given the limited time and funding remaining for these exercises.

A Steering Committee member requested that the facilitators post the Visioning material on the web site well ahead of the next meeting so everyone can be better prepared.

Draft Meeting Summary developed by CPAC Secretary Michelle Matson and approved for posting by the Steering Committee on April 15, 2008.

Meeting Summary attachments received from the Facilitators by the Steering Committee for posting on April 29, 2008.

**April 8, 2008 CPAC Meeting Attendance List
41 (and meetings attended)**

Anderson, Amy	(11)	Matson, Michelle	(12)
Bidari, Keshav	(3)	Mattson, Keith	(4)
Bolduc, Sara	(3)	Miasnik, Geoff	(6)
Browning, Chad	(3)	Nalua'I, Solomon	(11)
Burgess, Kawika	(3)	Nalua'I, Ka'ai	(2)
Chartrand, Grant	(3)	Nalua'I, Pua	(2)
Chillingworth, Mele	(2)	Okada, Dexter	(11)
Crone, Bob	(9)	Parkinson, John	(5)
Cunningham, Corrin	(3)	Phlegar, Chelsea	(3)
Dang, Mike	(9)	Plottier, Maria	(3)
Dang, Tricia	(1)	Scheuer, Jonathan	(8)
Darrah, Jennifer	(2)	Takamine, Wayne	(10)
DeMent, Gwen	(3)	Tamashiro, Elaine	(12)
Feltz, William	(10)	Thorpe, John	(12)
Furushima, Scott	(7)	Wellington, Fumiko	(4)
Hedlund, Nancy	(12)	Wong, Mark	(8)
Hetrick, Willow	(3)	Yajima, Loretta	(7)
Hubbard, Klouldil	(3)	Zupic, Danica	(3)
Iwami, Ron	(9)		
Jaffe, Michelle	(6)	Facilitators:	
Kadowaki, Jay	(8)	Cross, Karen	
Killeen, Kevin	(10)	Lowry, Kem	
Lee, Kaimana	(3)	Smoke, Anne	