

**KAKA‘AKO MAKAI COMMUNITY PLANNING ADVISORY COUNCIL
STEERING COMMITTEE MEETING SUMMARY
July 29, 2008**

Committee Members Present: Mark Wong, Michelle Matson, Wayne Takamine,
Nancy Hedlund, John Parkinson, Sara Bolduc,
Ron Iwami, John Thorpe, Amy Anderson, Mike Dang
CPAC Members Present: Lainie Tamashiro
Guests Present: Lynn Forenson
Facilitators Present: Karen Cross

Chair Mark Wong called the meeting to order at 5:35 p.m.

1. Approval of Draft CPAC Meeting Summary

The draft June 10, 2008, CPAC Meeting Summary was deferred for two days to allow review by some Committee members. (Note: With no additions or corrections the draft Meeting Summary was thereafter posted with the CPAC’s July 10 written comments.)

2. Presentation Requests for Future CPAC Agendas

UH Bio-Hazard Laboratory. Chair Wong reported that he met that afternoon with UH representative Keith Mattson and a representative of their consultant, Belt Collins, concerning a future presentation to the CPAC on the Pacific Regional Bio-Safety Lab proposed for Kaka‘ako Makai and the project’s timing, as follows:

- He was presented with a brief overview of the project, and informed those present that the CPAC was presently engaged in formulating Guiding principles for the planning of Kaka‘ako Makai.
- The CPAC should consider having this presentation in the near future.
- An environmental assessment on the project will be completed by April or May, 2009, with permitting and construction documents scheduled for October, 2011.

Discussion followed:

- A Committee member commented that a full Environmental Impact Statement should be necessary prior to any permitting of the project..
- A Committee member noted the following:
 - Previous presentations of the bio-hazard lab demonstrated that the only thing that changed was the when and how, and not the security issues.
 - Much time is consumed in these presentations by efforts to convince people how safe the project would be, and this could consume an entire CPAC meeting.
 - In the interests of time the presentation should be streamlined to fit onto the CPAC meeting agenda requirements.
- Chair Wong responded that he had advised the project representatives of the following:
 - Based on the reviews, sentiment is against this project.
 - It was not expected that the proposed project would be supported by attempts to convince people how safe it might be.

- It would have to be demonstrated to the community why Kaka’ako Makai must be the location for this facility and why there is no safer location elsewhere.
- A Committee member commented that the preferred location was originally planned in the vicinity of Pearl City, and their community arose in opposition against this.
- Chair Wong added that a presentation to the CPAC should be scheduled following a meeting with the Steering Committee.

Kewalo Basin Harbor Presentation. It was reported that KOA had requested a presentation to the CPAC concerning harbor issues. The following was noted:

- An escalation of rates threatens the survival of established boating businesses, and their failure would invite conversion of Kewalo Basin into a yacht harbor.
- Kewalo Basin is a large portion of Kaka’ako Makai but there has been very little communication on harbor issues with the CPAC, and the CPAC must be enlightened and apprised of these issues.
- A Committee member offered documents received from harbor meetings.
- A CPAC member noted that as the result of the transfer of Kewalo Basin from the Department of Transportation (DOT) to the HCDA, new rules proposed in 2007 will now be adopted by the HCDA.

3. Review of Guiding Principles Nominations

Chair Wong listed several points expressed by Committee members:

- Committee members need to spend time together discussing the 32 guiding principles drafted by Steering Committee members.
- The Committee cannot spend untold hours at several meetings on this.
- Those who have experience or expertise in the respective guiding principle areas could be assigned to review and address them.
- The new versions could be provided at the next CPAC meeting.

He added that many written comments from the last CPAC meeting suggested combining several of the overlapping guiding principles.

Discussion followed:

- A CPAC member announced working on a separate compilation of the original guiding principle nominations, which were correlated under common themes on a spreadsheet to simplify the identification process.
 - Chair Wong responded that he and other Steering Committee members had also worked on versions of this approach, and it would be best to hold this as prepared material for use at the CPAC meeting.
- Chair Wong suggested the following:
 - While over 270 CPAC guiding principle nominations were reduced to 32 by the Committee, and these would be reduced in number again, the intention is to preserve the CPAC’s work.
 - There was varying quality in the combined guiding principles produced by Committee members, but these should also be included as part of the CPAC’s effort.
 - Not all draft guiding principles will result in a final guiding principle.
 - It would be helpful for the Committee to address consolidation at this meeting by sequentially reviewing the 32 guiding principle drafts for duplication.

- A Committee member noted the following:
 - The Committee had moved away from the original five basic themes to achieve a better perspective on what was surfacing.
 - It would not be feasible to compare and contrast additional documents at this meeting.
 - Many words will have to be left behind because so many specifics and particulars have been named.
 - Chair Wong noted the possibility of producing a broad version and a longer annotated version, which explains the details to offer clarity, and this is something the CPAC could consider.
- A Committee member commented that the guiding principles need to stand on their own. Looking at the 32 drafts in terms of the original five themes was suggested.
- A Committee member supported moving forward with consolidation. It was noted that annotation has been an ongoing part of the process with documentation of all nominations that related to each of the 32 drafted combinations.
- A Committee member pointed out that it is important how the CPAC’s priorities are reflected. It was suggested that the CPAC’s all-encompassing priorities become titles above the related guiding principles to create clarity within the document, as follows:
 - Open Space and View Planes
 - Protection of Valuable Resources, which could separately include shoreline and ocean resources as well as historic resources, as suggested at the CPAC meeting.
 - Expanded Shoreline Park and Green Space
 - Cultural Community Gathering Place, which was provided in one Committee guiding principle combination not found among the 32 drafts.
 - Public Safety, Health and Welfare
 - Site Design, Buildings and Infrastructure
 - Community/Government Partnership, which the HCD would like to see as a guiding principle
 - Community Land Conservancy
 The following was additionally noted:
 - This list of titles provides more clarity than the original basic themes.
 - In addition to “community gathering place,” the CPAC’s priorities of an “expanded shoreline park” and “laws/legislation” were absent from the present drafts.
 - Several of the CPAC’s comments would be very important to consider in the present process.
- A Committee member suggested that the 32 drafts should be grouped in the original themes as they are reviewed for editing.
- Chair Wong recommended the hybrid approach of reviewing each of the drafts and combining several in consideration of the CPAC’s comments.

The Committee proceeded to discuss each of the 32 guiding principle (GP) drafts in sequence. The results of the Committee’s review and consolidations were as follows:

- GP 01: Green Space (dedicated park and green space acreage)
Combine with:
GP 2 (interaction of people) and
GP 3 (lei of green, public health and welfare, ahupua‘a values, park standards)
- GP 04: View Planes
Stand alone.
- GP 05: Public Accessibility (ocean access, bicycle and pedestrian access and features)
Combine with:
GP 19 (parking and street infrastructure)
- GP 06: Coastal Resources (coastal and ocean resources and uses)
Combine with:
GP 11 (coastal preservation) and
GP 15 (protection of coastal/marine resources)
- GP 22: Public Safety (safe day and night)
Combine with:
GP 7 (clear of potentially hazardous conditions)
- GP 08: Site Design Guidelines (compatibility of facilities)
Combine with:
GP 09 (carrying capacity),
GP 10 (building design, site features, connections),
GP 11 (historic preservation), and
GP 15 (conservation of material, utilities)
- GP 12: Legal (laws and legislation)
Stand alone.
- GP 13: Hawaiian Culture (traditional practices, teaching)
Combine with:
GP 14 (cultural awareness, history, sustainability),
GP 18 (host culture emphasis), and
GP 24 (values, traditional rights and practices; examples)
- GP 17: Multicultural (welcome residents, visitors)
Combine with:
GP 18 (community diversity)
- GP 21: Arts and Culture (museums, exhibits, performing arts center, festivals, recreation)
Combine with:
GP 16 (exhibition, performance; community, host culture)
- GP 32: Education (children’s educational exhibits, activities)
Combine with:
GP 15 (culture, environment)
GP 20 (marine, ocean education, research)
GP 31 (fishing education)
- GP 23: Community/Government Partnership (communication, representation,
Stand alone. commitment)
- GP 25: Funding and Management
Combine with:
GP 28 (public/private partnership, conservancy, resources, safeguards)
- GP 26: Small Local Business (serve community day and night; examples)
Combine with:
GP 29 and GP 31 (small, local and Kewalo maritime business support)
GP 30 (Hawaiian culture, small business, local goods)

GP 27 Public Good

Both a Vision and a Guiding Principle; also possibly Gathering Place

Following these determinations each committee member volunteered for, or was assigned, one or a few of the 32 draft guiding principle combinations to rework compatibly with the others now associated with them. It was agreed that Committee members would circulate their respective drafts and have the complete document prepared the day before the August meeting.

It was noted that careful focus would be needed from Committee members during deliberation of these consolidations, with serious consideration given to the draft guiding principle combinations, the related CPAC comments, and the original nominations.

4. August 12 Meeting Agenda

The agenda was briefly discussed to include review, discussion and possible CPAC voting on the Committee's work. Making any further reduction in the number of guiding principles was not favored.

The Steering Committee was adjourned at 8:15 pm

Draft Steering Committee Meeting Summary transmitted by CPAC Secretary to Steering Committee Members for review on August 10, 2008.
CPAC Steering Committee Meeting Summary approved for posting by Steering Committee on August 11, 2008.