

**KAKA'AKO MAKAI COMMUNITY PLANNING ADVISORY COUNCIL
MEETING SUMMARY
Meeting #18**

**Tuesday, September 9, 2008
John A. Burns School of Medicine, Room 301
5:30 p.m. to 7:30 p.m.**

Attachments:

- A. September 9 Guiding Principle Drafts with Discussion Comments
- B. September 9 Guiding Principle Drafts with Written Comments
- C. September 9 CPAC Meeting Attendance List

Facilitators: Karen Cross, Kem Lowry
Assisted by Anne Smoke

I. Welcome and Meeting Overview

- A. CPAC Chair Mark Wong welcomed the CPAC meeting participants and provided an overview of the agenda. This was followed by CPAC participant and facilitator self-introductions.
- B. Meeting Materials Distributed:
 - August 12, 2008 CPAC Meeting Summary
 - August 19, 2008 Steering Committee Meeting Summary
 - September 9, 2008 Steering Committee Re-Combined and Re-Condensed Guiding Principle Drafts
 - August 12, 2008 Steering Committee Combined and Condensed Guiding Principle Drafts with CPAC Comments (posted at meeting)

II. Adoption of the August 12, 2008 CPAC Meeting Summary

The August 12, 2008, CPAC Meeting Summary was adopted by consensus.

III. Draft Guiding Principles Compilation

Chair Wong described the Steering Committee's review and refinement of the draft guiding principles and commended the streamlining effort reflected in the resulting drafts. Secretary Matson noted that two guiding principle drafts had not been included on the list, and Chair Wong provided assurance that these would be addressed during this meeting.

Chair Wong lead the discussion, and pointed out that the common threads found in the guiding principles would help develop the broader Vision for Kaka'ako Makai. He added that the details leading up to the final drafts could be included in an annotated version of the Guiding Principles to ensure a record for future reference.

CPAC participants then reviewed each draft Guiding Principles (GPs) in sequence, and wrote comments for each on index cards to be submitted following the meeting.

(See Attachment A: Guiding Principle drafts and associated discussion comments
Attachment B: Guiding Principle drafts and associated written comments)

Conclusion

The facilitators noted the Steering Committee’s preparation work in refining the GPs to date and asked the CPAC participants to express their views on the outcome. It was agreed that much progress had been made toward a better product, and that the length and breadth of the content and general appearance was now satisfactory.

Comments followed:

- It was noted that differences remained in some view points for potential uses of the land, and the GPs should be submitted without being too specific about the many desirable uses.
- The CPAC will continue with the planning process as also reflected in the GPs.
- A full CPAC meeting should be devoted to the overall uses of the area.
- There may be design strategies for reconciling potentially competing or conflicting uses.

It was generally expected that more in-depth discussions by the Steering Committee would follow on the issues and priorities in order to present the “best draft” at the next CPAC meeting.

IV. Return to the Vision

The facilitators asked the CPAC participants to recognize the specificity in the Guiding Principles that could contribute to the over-reaching Vision statement, and to identify the essential terms for the Vision for the desired future aspired to.

Some suggestions followed:

Gathering place	Present families and future generations
Community	Accessibility
Open space	Cared for by community
Green space	Recreation, culture and education
Lei of green	Stewardship
Wide view across land and ocean	Our ‘aina, our story, our legacy
Harmony	Reminiscent of old Hawaii
Public uses, public land, public good	Inherent values of the Hawaiian ahupua‘a
Draws people of diversity	

It was agreed that two or three sentences expressing the Vision should be composed for the next Steering Committee meeting, and later determined that comments on the Vision Statement should be emailed to the Steering Committee.

IV. CPAC Transition from Contract Facilitation

The CPAC expressed appreciation to the facilitators for their work with the CPAC over the past months.

HCDA Staff spoke on the transition and the need for the CPAC to provide a transparent product that everyone agrees on.

Chair Wong commented that while the Steering Committee represents the will of the CPAC, the CPAC needs to represent the will of the public, and he encouraged everyone's participation to help ensure a public voice that reflects the public interest.

Comments followed:

- It will be important for both the Vision for Kaka'ako Makai and the Guiding Principles to be presented to the general public on the web site or at a public meeting for input and endorsement.
- Public views are diverse, and difficulties may arise in continuing to reconcile individual views.
- It should be recognized that the CPAC has achieved a distillation of a vast variety of ideas and has condensed them into specific planning principles.
- The CPAC is a diverse group that has been working diligently to meet their objective, and this comprehensive and meaningful work should be presented to the public for validation by the community.
- Public comments may generate something of significance that the CPAC has not considered.
- Public comments could be submitted to the HCDA via the HCDA's interactive public comment web-site program.
- In response to a question about how the HCDA will accept the CPAC's work, HCDA Staff commented that the CPAC is only advisory and broad public input is recommended.
- There would be some concern if all the CPAC's work were to become just part of another collection of comments submitted to the HCDA concerning Kaka'ako Makai. The integrity of the CPAC's work must be retained, and this planning work should not be subjected to piecemeal additions or views of others that lack consensus. The CPAC should also be willing to be open to any significant omissions brought forth by the public, if any.
- The CPAC's work product should be used as advice and guidance to help the HCDA make decisions.
- The CPAC's guidance also could be included in HCDA requests for proposals concerning Kaka'ako Makai.

- It would be important to publish the CPAC’s work as a public statement for public information, and as a matter of record for public acknowledgement.
- (HCDA Staff) The CPAC would provide this record of advice to the HCDA in the same capacity as advice provided by a Neighborhood Board with standing before the City Council.
- (HCDA Staff) The CPAC is invited to provide a summary of the CPAC’s work in 500 to 1000 words by the end of the month for the HCDA’s annual report to the Legislature.
- Going back to the HCDA’s diagram of the CPAC’s established phases, this would be the time for a joint statement by the HCDA board and the CPAC on how future dialogue will be handled and in what ways the CPAC’s advisement will used, and this statement could be included in the CPAC’s work report of record.
- (HCDA Staff) Once the Vision and Guiding principles are complete, discussion will focus on proceeding with the planning phase for Kaka‘ako Makai, as it is intended by the HCDA board that the community will be involved in each step of this process.

Chair Wong concluded the discussion by thanking the CPAC participants for their work during this initial difficult planning phase without precedent.

IV. Next Meeting Dates

Steering Committee Meeting – To be announced for the week of September 22.

Next CPAC Meetings - Tuesday, October 14
 Wednesday, November 12
 Tuesday, December

Draft CPAC Meeting Summary developed and transmitted by CPAC Secretary to Steering Committee Members for review on September 22, 2008.
 Draft CPAC Meeting Summary approved by Steering Committee for posting on October 5, 2008.

September 9, 2008, CPAC Meeting Attendance List
(and Meetings Attended)

- | | | | |
|-----------------------|------|-----------------------|------|
| 1. Amy Anderson | (15) | 15. Loy, Bob | (11) |
| 2. Belegaud, Guy | (1) | 16. Matson, Michelle | (18) |
| 3. Chartrand, Grant | (9) | 17. Miasnik, Geoff | (9) |
| 4. Crone, Bob | (13) | 18. Musick, Marla | (10) |
| 5. DeRego, Mike | (3) | 19. Okada, Dexter | (15) |
| 6. Feltz, William | (14) | 20. Parkinson, John | (10) |
| 7. Furushima, Scott | (12) | 21. Patten, Merrick | (1) |
| 8. Grace, Anela Lani | (1) | 22. Scheuer, Jonathan | (12) |
| 9. Hedlund, Nancy | (17) | 23. Sorenson, Lynn | (3) |
| 10. Howe, Jim | (10) | 24. Takamine, Wayne | (15) |
| 11. Iwami, Ron | (15) | 25. Tamashiro, Lainie | (17) |
| 12. Kam, Elaine Merry | (1) | 26. Thorpe, John | (17) |
| 13. Killeen, Kevin | (13) | 27. Wong, Mark | (14) |
| 14. Lizama, David | (11) | | |

Canopin, Al (Councilmember Rod Tam)
Fuka, Elenoa (Senator Trimble)
Cross, Karen (Facilitator)
Lowry, Kem (Facilitator)
Anne Smoke (Facilitator Assistant)